

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS IONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 rginia 22313-1450 www.wspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/561,618	05/05/2006	Brenda F. Baker	CORE0005USA	9771
30555 WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			VIVLEMORE, TRACY ANN	
2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/561.618 BAKER, BRENDA F. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Tracy Vivlemore 1635 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 38.40 and 61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 38,40 and 61 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/9/09

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Any rejection or objection not reiterated in this Action is withdrawn.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 31, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 38, 40 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McSwiggen et al. (US 2004/0192626) in view of Brown et al. (US 2003/0166282, of record).

The claims are directed to compositions of oligomeric compounds comprising a sense and antisense strand wherein the antisense strand comprises 2'-fluoro nucleosides and all guanosines have been substituted with inosine in the sense strand. In specific embodiments the compounds are 12-30 or 19-23 nucleotides in length.

Art Unit: 1635

McSwiggen et al. teach siRNAs that are about 19 to about 25 nucleotides in length and comprise an antisense region complementary to a sequence encoding a target RNA and a sense region complementary to the antisense region. McSwiggen et al. teach the use of chemically modified siRNAs, with chemical modifications including 2'-deoxy-2'-fluoro ribonucleotides, which improve the stability of the interaction with the target RNA sequence and to improve nuclease resistance. At paragraph 15 McSwiggen et al. teach that up to 100% of the nucleotide positions within an siRNA can be modified. Figure 18 illustrates embodiments wherein all nucleotide positions of the antisense strand comprise 2'-modified sugars. This figure is present in provisional application 60/408,378, filed September 5, 2002. McSwiggen et al. additionally teach that "universal bases" may be included in a siRNA, teaching at paragraph 209 that inosine is an example of a universal base. McSwiggen et al. teach modifications to nucleotides in a permissive manner, but does not explicitly teach a siRNA wherein every position of the antisense strand contains a 2'-fluoro modified nucleotide and every guanosine in the sense strand is substituted with inosine.

Brown et al. teach siRNAs comprising modified nucleotides that have the effect of decreasing the duplex stability of the dsRNA. Brown et al. teach at paragraph 29 that such siRNAs are significantly more potent. At paragraph 33 Brown et al. teach that because I:C base pairs form only two hydrogen bonds instead of the three in G:C basepairs, substitution of inosine (I) for G at one or more positions in the siRNA will reduce duplex stability and thereby enhance siRNA potency. At paragraph 196 Brown et al.

Art Unit: 1635

teach that inosine can be substituted for guanosine in any siRNA sequence by using an appropriate inosine phosphoramidite.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make siRNAs comprising a completely modified antisense strand as taught by McSwiggen et al. and to make this siRNA with all fluoro modifications because McSwiggen et al. teach siRNAs wherein all positions of the antisense strand comprise 2'-modified sugars and explicitly teach the inclusion of 2'-fluoro nucleotides. Based on these teachings and the permissive manner in which McSwiggen et al. teach the inclusion of 2'-modified nucleotides and the knowledge that such nucleotides can be incorporated into an oligomer using commercially available reagents and routine synthetic methods, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that making the siRNA with all fluoro nucleotides instead of mixed 2'-fluoro and 2'-OMe nucleotides is a matter of design choice. It would also have been obvious to make an siRNA with one or more inosine bases that will reduce duplex stability as taught by Brown et al. Brown et al. provide a motivation to include inosine nucleotides in a siRNA by teaching that use of such an analog will reduce duplex stability and increase potency of the siRNA and provides a reasonable expectation of success in making siRNAs comprising inosine by teaching that inosine can be easily substituted for guanosine using an appropriate phosphoramidite. Based on the suggestion by Brown et al. of including inosine nucleotides in a siRNA and their teaching that such nucleosides are easily substituted for quanosine using routine synthetic methods and readily available reagents, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that placement of the inosine at any particular

Art Unit: 1635

position, including the 3' terminus of the sense strand, is a matter of design choice that would be made in the course of routine optimization.

Thus, the invention of claims 38, 40 and 61 would have been obvious, as a whole, at the time the invention was made.

Claims 38, 40 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McSwiggen et al. (US 2004/0192626) in view of Zamore et al. (US 2005/0181382, of record).

The claims are directed to compositions of oligomeric compounds comprising a sense and antisense strand wherein the antisense strand comprises 2'-fluoro nucleosides and all guanosines have been substituted with inosine in the sense strand. In specific embodiments the compounds are 12-30 or 19-23 nucleotides in length.

McSwiggen et al. teach siRNAs that are about 19 to about 25 nucleotides in length and comprise an antisense region complementary to a sequence encoding a target RNA and a sense region complementary to the antisense region. McSwiggen et al. teach the use of chemically modified siRNAs, with chemical modifications including 2'-deoxy-2'-fluoro ribonucleotides, which improve the stability of the interaction with the target RNA sequence and to improve nuclease resistance. At paragraph 15 McSwiggen et al. teach that up to 100% of the nucleotide positions within an siRNA can be modified. Figure 18 illustrates embodiments wherein all nucleotide positions of the antisense strand comprise 2'-modified sugars. This figure is present in provisional application 60/408,378, filed September 5, 2002. McSwiggen et al. additionally teach

Art Unit: 1635

that "universal bases" may be included in a siRNA, teaching at paragraph 209 that inosine is an example of a universal base. McSwiggen et al. teach modifications to nucleotides in a permissive manner, but does not explicitly teach a siRNA wherein every position of the antisense strand contains a 2'-fluoro modified nucleotide and every guanosine in the sense strand is substituted with inosine.

Zamore et al. teach asymmetric siRNAs that provide enhanced specificity and efficacy for mediating RISC-mediated cleavage of a desired target gene. These siRNAs are described at paragraphs 80-82. In one preferred aspect the base pair strength between the antisense strand 5' end and the sense strand 3' end of the siRNAs is less than the bond strength or base pair strength between the antisense strand 3' end and the sense strand 5' end, such that the antisense strand preferentially guides cleavage of a target mRNA. In one embodiment, the bond strength or base pair strength is less due to at least one base pair comprising a rare nucleotide such as inosine (I). These teachings are present in the provisional application filed June 2, 2003.

Zamore et al. demonstrate this concept in example IV, producing siRNAs having I:C base pairs at the 5' terminus of the anti-sense strand. When the 5' terminus of the anti-sense strand is substituted with inosine the anti-sense strand was enhanced relative to the sense strand. Thus, the strand whose 5' end is in the weaker base pair was more effective at target cleavage.

At paragraphs 89-92 Zamore et al. further teach that the siRNAs can be modified to improve stability in serum or in growth medium for cell cultures. Preferred nucleotide

Art Unit: 1635

analogues include sugar-modified ribonucleotides where the 2'OH-group is replaced by groups such as halo, which includes fluorine.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make siRNAs comprising a completely modified antisense strand as taught by McSwiggen et al. and to make this siRNA with all fluoro modifications because McSwiggen et al. teach siRNAs wherein all positions of the antisense strand comprise 2'-modified sugars and explicitly teach the inclusion of 2'-fluoro nucleotides. Based on these teachings and the permissive manner in which McSwiggen et al. teach the inclusion of 2'-modified nucleotides and the knowledge that such nucleotides can be incorporated into an oligomer using commercially available reagents and routine synthetic methods, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that making the siRNA with all fluoro nucleotides instead of mixed 2'-fluoro and 2'-OMe nucleotides is a matter of design choice. It would also have been obvious to make an siRNA with an inosine base that will produce asymmetry within the siRNA as taught by Zamore et al. Zamore et al. provide a motivation and reasonable expectation of success in including inosine nucleotides in a siRNA by teaching and exemplifying that inclusion of such a nucleoside reduces the base pairing strength and provides a motivation to reduce the base pair strength between the antisense 5' end and the sense 3' end by teaching that reducing strength of this particular base pair enhances cleavage of the target mRNA. While Zamore et al. exemplify the use of inosine in the antisense strand and not the sense strand, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that reversing the I:C base

Art Unit: 1635

pair to place the inosine in the sense strand produces an equivalent structure that is also an asymmetric siRNA having an enhanced antisense strand.

Thus, the invention of claims 38, 40 and 61 would have been obvious, as a whole, at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Vivlemore whose telephone number is 571-272-2914. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James (Doug) Schultz, can be reached on 571-272-0763. The central FAX Number is 571-273-8300.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service

Art Unit: 1635

center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

> Tracy Vivlemore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1635

/Tracy Vivlemore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635